

Intrauterine exposure to smoking and wheezing in adolescence: the 1993 Pelotas Birth Cohort

F. C. Wehrmeister*, B. P. Nunes, C. Loret de Mola, N. Gómez-Cofré, P. D. de Oliveira, P. L. Marco, M. C. F. Assunção, H. Gonçalves, B. L. Horta and A. M. B. Menezes

Post-Graduate Program in Epidemiology, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil

Previous studies have suggested an association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and asthma symptoms such as wheezing during childhood. However, few have evaluated this association in adolescence, especially in populations with high prevalence of wheezing as in Brazil. Using the 1993 Pelotas birth cohort, a longitudinal study set in southern Brazil of 5249 urban live births, we aimed to evaluate the association between maternal and the partner's smoking during pregnancy and wheezing at 11 and 15 years of age. We evaluated smoking during pregnancy using number of cigarettes/day, and our main outcomes were as follows: wheezing in the last year and number of wheezing crises, at both 11 and 15 years of age, as well as persistent wheezing (having crises at 11 and 15 years of age) and medical asthma diagnosis at age 15. In addition, other socio-demographic variables were included as possible confounders and mediators of this association. We used Poisson regression models to evaluate crude and adjusted associations. Of the 5249 live births in 1993, 87.5% and 85.7% were followed-up to 11 and 15 years of age, respectively. Maternal smoking during pregnancy showed a dose-response association with number of wheezing crises at age 15 ($P = 0.023$), presence of persistent wheezing ($P = 0.034$) and asthma diagnosis ($P = 0.023$). Partner's smoking was not associated with any wheezing variables. Maternal smoking during pregnancy appears to exert an effect on respiratory morbidity of adolescents, evaluated by wheezing symptoms.

Received 23 January 2014; Revised 23 September 2014; Accepted 15 October 2014; First published online 18 November 2014

Key words: adolescent, longitudinal studies, pregnancy, respiratory sounds, smoking

Introduction

Smoking is one of the main causes of non-communicable diseases (NCD) and preventable deaths worldwide.¹ Despite the downward trend in its prevalence since the beginning of the century, especially in high-income countries,² its impact on public health and the need for government actions aimed at the reduction of smoking at a population-based level are still of utmost importance. In some low- and middle-income countries, the habit of smoking is growing, and it is expected that by 2030 more than two-thirds of tobacco-related deaths will occur.³

Maternal smoking is associated with several harmful effects on their offspring during their life course, including low birth weight, newborn sudden death, childhood overweight, impaired lung function, respiratory infections and NCDs during adulthood.^{4–11} It has also been shown that parental smoking is a determinant factor of later offspring smoking, increasing the risk for respiratory diseases during adulthood, including lung cancer, asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, which are responsible for a quarter of all hospitalizations in Brazil.^{11–13}

Wheezing is one of the main symptoms of asthma,¹⁴ and Brazil, according to the World Health Survey (2002–2003),

has one of the highest prevalence of self-reported wheezing (24%) among people over 18 years of age.¹⁵ Although it has been shown that maternal smoking is associated with wheezing during the 1st year of life,¹⁶ few studies regarding the topic have focused on other life periods. The available literature shows that the damage caused by maternal smoking on intrauterine development of the respiratory system remains throughout life, particularly during childhood and adolescence;^{17–19} therefore, studying their clinical consequences during these life periods is of great importance.

This study aims to evaluate the association between parental smoking during pregnancy and wheezing during childhood/adolescence (11 and 15 years of age) in individuals from a prospective birth cohort in southern Brazil.

Methods

In 1993, a new birth cohort in Pelotas, a southern Brazilian city, was initialized. All hospital live births in the city were identified. Newborns whose mothers lived in the urban area were examined and their mothers were interviewed. From 5265 eligible newborns, 5249 took part in the longitudinal study (0.03% refused). Later in 2004–2005 and 2008–2009, all the cohort members were sought and these children were followed-up at ages 11 and 15, with a response rate of 87.5% and 85.7%, respectively. Household interviews were conducted in both follow-ups and, just at 15 years, participants were also interviewed

*Address for correspondence: F. C. Wehrmeister, Post-Graduate Program in Epidemiology, Federal University of Pelotas, Rua Marechal Deodoro, no 1160, 3o piso, CEP 96020-220, Pelotas/RS, Brazil.
 (Email fcwehrmeister@yahoo.com.br)

in a center for measures and exams. Follow-up and baseline study methodologies have been published elsewhere.^{20–22}

Mothers or the newborn's caregivers responded to a short questionnaire at the perinatal period, collecting demographic, socioeconomic and behavioral variables. In addition, newborn anthropometric variables were obtained from hospital records. At the 11- and 15-year-old follow-up visits, demographic, socioeconomic and behavioral variables were obtained, as well as data on wheezing symptoms during the previous year.

For this study, our main outcomes were as follows: (1) wheezing during the last 12 months at 11 and 15 years of age (yes/no); (2) number of wheezing crises during the last 12 months at age 11 and 15; (3) persistent wheezing, defined as the presence of wheezing during the last 12 months in both follow-up visits (11 and 15 years); and (4) medical asthma diagnosis at age 15, reported by the adolescent's mother. Wheezing was reported by the mother at age 11 and by the adolescent at age 15. In both follow-up visits, a standardized questionnaire was used.²³ The question exploring wheezing symptoms at age 11 was as follows: 'Since <month> last year, has <child's name> had chest wheezing?' and 'Since <month> last year, how many wheezing crises <child's name> had?'; and the question at age 15 was as follows: 'Since <month> last year, did you have chest wheezing?' and 'Since <month> last year, how many wheezing crises you had?'. For self-reported asthma diagnosis at age 15, the adolescents' mothers were asked the following: 'During the lifetime, doctor said that your son/daughter had asthma?'. At baseline, the mother was asked about smoking during pregnancy (yes/no) and exposure to second-hand smoking at home. The mother also reported the average number of cigarettes smoked per day in each trimester. With this information, we created our main exposure variables, and estimated the mean number of cigarettes the mother smoked during the whole pregnancy (mean cigarettes/day). In addition, using both parents' smoking data (yes/no), we created the variable 'Parents smoked during pregnancy', categorized as the following: 'neither smoked', 'just one smoked' and 'both smoked'.

We performed descriptive and univariate analyses using χ^2 -tests. In addition, we used Poisson regression models with robust variance to estimate crude and adjusted prevalence ratios (wheezing at 11 or 15 years) and risk ratios (RR; number of crises and persistent wheezing).²⁴ Multiple-variable models were adjusted for confounding factors [gender, skin color, family income at birth (quintiles), mother schooling at birth, birth weight, type of birth and parental history of asthma] and possible mediators (adolescent smoking, physical activity and nutritional status). The analysis was adjusted for mediators to isolate the specific biological effect of smoking during fetal life. Moreover, we included mother and partner smoking during pregnancy together in adjusted models. For the purpose of statistical significance, we selected the lowest *P*-value between heterogeneity and trend tests. If the trend test was the lowest for *P*-value, we also tested for deviation from linearity. In order to check for deviation, we subtracted the value of the Wald test for

the model, including the exposure variable as continuous from the model with the exposure variable as categorical. The same was done for the model's degrees of freedom. If the value did not reach the threshold for the Wald test according to the number of degrees of freedom, we would consider that deviation from linearity was not present and *P*-values for trend were used. We used Stata version 12.2 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

Visits at 11 and 15 years of age in the 1993 cohort received approval from the Pelotas Federal University, Ethics Institutional Review Board (letters 029/2003 and 158/07, respectively).

Results

In 2004–2005, we interviewed 4452 subjects and 4325 in 2008–2009. In total, 13.5% and 12.1% had at least one wheezing episode during the last 12 months at 11 and 15 years of age, respectively. Persistent wheezing was observed in 4.6%, whereas lifetime asthma diagnosis evaluated at 15 years of age was 29.5%. Sample distribution and prevalence according to each independent variable are described in Table 1. We observed that boys have a higher prevalence of wheezing at age 11; whereas at age 15, wheezing is more prevalent among girls. Parental history of asthma was associated with higher prevalence of wheezing at 11 and 15 years of age, as well as persistent wheezing. Adolescent smoking was positively associated with wheezing at age 15 but not at age 11. In both age groups, nutritional status was inversely related to the prevalence of persistent wheezing. Maternal smoking was positively associated with the prevalence of wheezing. On the other hand, asthma diagnosis was associated with all sociodemographic and biological variables, except for type of delivery, partner smoking and adolescent smoking (Table 1).

Table 2 shows that, in the crude analyses, maternal smoking was associated with a higher risk of wheezing at 11 years of age; however, after adjustment, the magnitude of the association was reduced and the confidence interval included the unity. Partner or both parents smoking was not associated with wheezing in the previous year. On the other hand, Table 3 shows an association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and the number of wheezing crises at age 15, showing that offspring of mothers who smoked ≥ 20 cigarettes per day had nearly twice the number of crises compared with children of mothers who did not smoke, even in the adjusted analyses (RR = 1.97, 95% CI 1.06–3.68).

Risk of persistent wheezing was 36% higher (RR = 1.36, 95% CI 0.97–1.91) in individuals whose mothers smoked <20 cigarettes per day and 61% higher (RR = 1.61, 95% CI 0.87–2.99) in those whose mothers smoked more than 20 cigarettes per day during pregnancy. Although the individual estimates were not statistically significant, trend analysis showed an increase for the risk of persistent wheezing, suggesting a dose-response relationship with the number of cigarettes smoked by the mother during pregnancy (*P* = 0.034). Similar to this finding, adolescents whose mothers smoked during pregnancy had a higher risk to have a self-reported asthma medical diagnosis

Table 1. Description of sample characteristics, the 1993 Pelotas Birth Cohort

Variable	n (%)	Wheezing prevalence (%)			Asthma medical diagnosis (%)
		11 years	15 years	Persistent	
Sex		<i>P</i> < 0.001	<i>P</i> = 0.032	<i>P</i> = 0.142	<i>P</i> < 0.001
Male	2606 (49.7)	15.3	11.0	5.0	33.1
Female	2642 (50.3)	11.7	13.2	4.1	25.8
Skin color		<i>P</i> = 0.545	<i>P</i> = 0.145	<i>P</i> = 0.608	<i>P</i> = 0.001
White	2769 (64.1)	13.1	11.5	4.6	27.3
Black	611 (14.1)	14.4	11.6	3.6	34.2
Brown	784 (18.1)	14.7	14.5	5.1	31.8
Family income at birth (quintiles)		<i>P</i> = 0.458	<i>P</i> = 0.378	<i>P</i> = 0.161	<i>P</i> = 0.003
1° (lowest)	1031 (20.1)	13.6	13.2	4.2	32.2
2°	1195 (23.2)	12.5	10.5	3.3	31.3
3°	889 (17.3)	15.4	12.0	5.2	30.9
4°	1001 (19.5)	13.6	13.0	5.3	27.4
5° (highest)	1021 (19.9)	12.8	12.6	5.2	24.7
Mother schooling at birth (complete years)		<i>P</i> = 0.620	<i>P</i> = 0.347	<i>P</i> = 0.071	<i>P</i> < 0.001
4 or less	1468 (28.0)	13.1	13.2	3.6	33.5
5 to 8	2424 (46.2)	13.3	11.7	4.5	29.5
9 or more	1350 (25.8)	14.4	11.5	5.7	24.6
Birth weight		<i>P</i> = 0.414	<i>P</i> = 0.415	<i>P</i> = 0.390	<i>P</i> = 0.013
≥2500 g	4722 (90.3)	13.4	12.2	4.6	28.8
<2500 g	510 (9.8)	14.8	10.8	3.7	34.9
Type of delivery		<i>P</i> = 0.235	<i>P</i> = 0.967	<i>P</i> = 0.182	<i>P</i> = 0.532
Normal	3647 (69.5)	13.1	12.1	4.3	29.1
C-section	1602 (30.5)	14.4	12.1	5.2	30.0
Parents asthma history		<i>P</i> < 0.001	<i>P</i> < 0.001	<i>P</i> < 0.001	<i>P</i> < 0.001
No	2856 (65.6)	9.6	9.4	3.2	22.4
Yes	1500 (34.4)	21.0	17.3	7.3	43.9
Adolescent smoking at 11		<i>P</i> = 0.853	–	<i>P</i> = 0.304	<i>P</i> = 0.774
Non-smoker	4246 (98.5)	13.5	–	4.6	29.8
Smoker	64 (1.5)	12.7	–	1.8	31.6
Adolescent smoking at 15		–	<i>P</i> < 0.001	<i>P</i> = 0.857	<i>P</i> = 0.221
Non-smoker	3971 (94.0)	–	11.5	4.6	29.2
Smoker	253 (6.0)	–	20.2	4.8	32.8
Physical activity at 11		<i>P</i> = 0.860	–	<i>P</i> = 0.493	<i>P</i> = 0.011
>300 min/week	2292 (53.4)	13.5	–	4.7	31.3
≤300 min/week	2001 (46.6)	13.7	–	4.3	27.7
Physical activity at 15		–	<i>P</i> = 0.906	<i>P</i> = 0.741	<i>P</i> = 0.001
>300 min/week	2321 (53.7)	–	12.1	4.6	31.8
≤300 min/week	2003 (46.3)	–	12.2	4.4	27.2
Adolescent's BMI at 11 (WHO standard deviations)		<i>P</i> = 0.004	–	<i>P</i> < 0.001	<i>P</i> = 0.074
≤1 (normal)	3153 (71.0)	12.6	–	3.9	28.9
1–2 (overweight)	838 (18.9)	14.3	–	4.9	30.0
>2 (obese)	451 (10.1)	18.2	–	8.5	34.3
Adolescent's BMI at 15 (WHO standard deviations)		–	<i>P</i> = 0.183	<i>P</i> = 0.027	<i>P</i> = 0.032
≤1 (normal)	2957 (72.2)	–	11.7	4.1	28.5
1–2 (overweight)	771 (18.8)	–	12.5	5.4	32.0
>2 (obese)	368 (9.0)	–	15.0	6.8	33.7
Mother smoked during pregnancy (mean cigarettes/day)		<i>P</i> = 0.060	<i>P</i> = 0.049	<i>P</i> = 0.289	<i>P</i> < 0.001
Non-smoker	3497 (66.6)	12.8	11.3	4.2	26.8
<20 cigarettes/day	1492 (28.4)	14.4	13.7	5.2	34.6
≥20 cigarettes/day	260 (5.0)	17.9	14.5	5.6	35.0
Partner smoked during pregnancy		<i>P</i> = 0.900	<i>P</i> = 0.041	<i>P</i> = 0.677	<i>P</i> = 0.071
No	2378 (49.5)	13.7	13.0	4.5	30.3
Yes	2428 (50.5)	13.5	10.9	4.8	27.7
Parents smoked during pregnancy		<i>P</i> = 0.069	<i>P</i> = 0.816	<i>P</i> = 0.134	<i>P</i> = 0.183
Neither smoked	1756 (33.5)	12.9	12.5	3.9	28.7
One smoked	2981 (56.7)	13.2	11.8	4.7	29.1
Both smoked	512 (9.8)	17.1	12.5	6.1	33.2

Table 2. Crude and adjusted analysis of smoking during pregnancy and wheezing in the last 12 months (at 11 and 15 years): 1993 Pelotas Birth Cohort

Variable	11 years		15 years	
	Crude PR (CI 95%)	Adjusted ^a PR (CI 95%)	Crude PR (CI 95%)	Adjusted ^a PR (CI 95%)
Mother smoked during pregnancy (mean cigarettes/day)	$P = 0.016^b$	$P = 0.131^b$	$P = 0.009^b$	$P = 0.176^b$
Non-smoker	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
<20 cigarettes/day	1.13 (0.94; 1.36)	1.06 (0.87; 1.28)	1.28 (1.05; 1.54)	1.16 (0.94; 1.42)
≥ 20 cigarettes/day	1.51 (1.09; 2.09)	1.37 (0.98; 1.93)	1.32 (0.89; 1.96)	1.15 (0.77; 1.71)
Partner smoked during pregnancy	$P = 0.872$	$P = 0.574$	$P = 0.024$	$P = 0.149$
No	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yes	0.99 (0.84; 1.16)	1.04 (0.88; 1.23)	0.81 (0.68; 0.97)	0.88 (0.73; 1.06)
Parents smoked during pregnancy	$P = 0.233^b$	$P = 0.421^b$	$P = 0.809^b$	$P = 0.565^b$
Neither smoked	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
One smoked	1.00 (0.84; 1.19)	0.98 (0.83; 1.17)	0.95 (0.79; 1.15)	0.95 (0.79; 1.14)
Both smoked	1.25 (0.96; 1.63)	1.17 (0.91; 1.52)	1.00 (0.74; 1.35)	0.93 (0.69; 1.27)

PR, prevalence ratios; BMI, body mass index.

^aAdjusted for sex, skin color, birth weight, type of delivery, mother schooling at birth, family income at birth, parents' asthma history, adolescent smoking, BMI, physical activity (at the time of follow-up).

^bTrend analysis.

Table 3. Crude and adjusted analysis of smoking during pregnancy and number of wheezing crises in the last 12 months (at 11 and 15 years), 1993 Pelotas Birth Cohort

Variable	11 years		15 years	
	Crude RR (CI 95%)	Adjusted ^a RR (CI 95%)	Crude RR (CI 95%)	Adjusted ^a RR (CI 95%)
Mother smoked during pregnancy (mean cigarettes/day)	$P = 0.540^b$	$P = 0.865^b$	$P = 0.005^b$	$P = 0.023^b$
Non-smoker		1.00	1.00	1.00
<20 cigarettes/day	1.15 (0.79; 1.67)	1.07 (0.69; 1.67)	1.43 (0.98; 2.09)	1.37 (0.88; 2.12)
≥ 20 cigarettes/day	1.01 (0.64; 1.61)	0.96 (0.57; 1.59)	2.16 (1.16; 3.99)	1.97 (1.06; 3.68)
Partner smoked during pregnancy	$P = 0.728$	$P = 0.539$	$P = 0.402$	$P = 0.897$
No	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yes	1.06 (0.76; 1.48)	1.12 (0.79; 1.60)	0.87 (0.63; 1.21)	0.97 (0.66; 1.43)
Parents smoked during pregnancy	$P = 0.318^b$	$P = 0.391^b$	$P = 0.257^b$	$P = 0.327^b$
Neither smoked	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
One smoked	1.06 (0.75; 1.49)	1.03 (0.73; 1.46)	1.07 (0.80; 1.42)	1.05 (0.79; 1.40)
Both smoked	1.45 (0.77; 2.73)	1.37 (0.75; 2.49)	1.57 (0.78; 3.18)	1.48 (0.73; 3.00)

RR, risk ratios; BMI, body mass index.

^aAdjusted for sex, skin color, birth weight, type of delivery, mother schooling at birth, family income at birth, parents' asthma history, adolescent smoking, BMI, physical activity (at the time of follow-up).

^bTrend analysis.

compared with those whose mothers did not smoke during that period (for <20 cigarettes/day, RR = 1.15 and for ≥ 20 cigarettes/day, RR = 1.18; $P = 0.012$ for trend). No association was found for partner smoking during pregnancy (Table 4).

Discussion

We found an association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and the number of wheezing crises, persistent

wheezing at age 15 and asthma medical diagnosis, even after adjusting for confounders and mediators, especially in mothers who smoked ≥ 20 cigarettes/day. On the other hand, there was no association between smoking of the partner during pregnancy and wheezing and asthma diagnosis outcomes. This indicates that adolescent wheezing is specifically associated with the mother's smoking during pregnancy, suggesting a direct fetal effect.

This study was carried out with rigorous methodological control, but some limitations should be mentioned. Maternal smoking

Table 4. Crude and adjusted analysis of smoking during pregnancy and persistent wheezing and asthma medical diagnosis (11 and 15 years of age): 1993 Pelotas Birth Cohort

Variable	Persistent wheezing (11 and 15 years)		Asthma medical diagnosis	
	Crude RR (CI 95%)	Adjusted ^a RR (CI 95%)	Crude RR (CI 95%)	Adjusted ^a RR (CI 95%)
Mother smoked during pregnancy (mean cigarettes/day)	$P = 0.117^b$	$P = 0.034^b$	$P < 0.001^b$	$P = 0.012^b$
Non-smoker	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
<20 cigarettes/day	1.24 (0.92; 1.67)	1.36 (0.97; 1.91)	1.26 (1.13; 1.40)	1.15 (1.03; 1.28)
≥20 cigarettes/day	1.34 (0.73; 2.44)	1.61 (0.87; 2.99)	1.35 (1.09; 1.67)	1.18 (0.95; 1.46)
Partner smoked during pregnancy	$P = 0.677$	$P = 0.303$	$P = 0.172$	$P = 0.540$
No	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yes	1.06 (0.80; 1.41)	1.18 (0.87; 1.60)	0.93 (0.84; 1.03)	1.03 (0.93; 1.14)
Parents smoked during pregnancy	$P = 0.054^b$	$P = 0.053^b$	$P = 0.092^b$	$P = 0.176^b$
Neither smoked	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
One smoked	1.20 (0.88; 1.66)	1.22 (0.88; 1.70)	1.03 (0.93; 1.15)	1.05 (0.94; 1.16)
Both smoked	1.59 (1.01; 2.50)	1.61 (1.00; 2.58)	1.18 (1.00; 1.39)	1.12 (0.95; 1.31)

RR, risk ratios; BMI, body mass index.

^aAdjusted for sex, skin color, birth weight, type of delivery, mother schooling at birth, family income at birth, parents' asthma history, and adolescent smoking, BMI and physical activity (15 years).

^bTrend analysis.

during pregnancy, being a socially reprehensible behavior, may have been underreported by mothers as previously shown in other studies.²⁵ However, we did not consider this bias in our results, as there is no reason to think that maternal smoking underreported at the moment of birth was related to the report of wheezing crises in the subject's adolescence. On the other hand, despite being widely used in epidemiological studies, wheezing questions involving a 12-month period recall could have measurement errors; however, once again, these errors most likely happened at random and it would not imply that this research presents a recall bias. On the other hand, the presence of symptoms such as wheezing has often been used as a proxy for asthma in epidemiological studies; however, our wheezing outcome cannot be considered as an asthma diagnosis. It has been proposed as a better outcome that the use of wheezing symptoms and history of asthma medication, even when we did have information on medication, referred to a different period to the one asked for wheezing crises, therefore it was not possible to combine this information and use a better outcome. In order to improve our findings, we performed an analysis including medical asthma diagnosis, with similar results with persistent wheezing but with lower effect size.

On the other hand, this cohort has information on spirometer at age 15. Analysis including reversibility (variability in pre- and post-bronchodilator tests >12% of predicted FEV₁) and obstruction (FEV₁/FVC ratio <90%) according to Global Initiative for Asthma²⁶ was done, finding no association (Supplementary Table). This might be because most of the asthmatic patients in this cohort had some kind of treatment, and more severe and chronic cases might also be the most medicated subjects and the best controlled.

Most studies used the variable pack/year of smoking as the exposure. Nevertheless, we did not have this kind of information in our cohort, which is the reason we used number of cigarettes/day. Nonetheless we consider this a reliable way of measuring smoking during pregnancy. Another potential confounder, hospitalizations during the first years of life, was not possible to be included in the adjusted analysis, as we did not have this kind of information for the entire cohort, but only for a subsample of 20% (<1000 individuals), which would have reduced the statistical power for our analyses. Finally, at age 11, questions on wheezing were made to the mother, whereas at 15 years of age to the adolescent, having probably at this last follow-up a more sensitive estimate than at age 11. In addition, postnatal tobacco exposure was not evaluated, and consequently we were not able to identify whether the effect of tobacco exposure occurring during pregnancy is independent from postnatal exposure, and also establish whether fetal life is a critical period for mother's smoking. Nevertheless, it has been postulated that wheezing during childhood and adolescence is a transient condition, because of the development of intrauterine abnormalities, as most of the respiratory tract development occurs during fetal life.²⁷

Despite these limitations, our study has the strength of having a longitudinal design and is one of the few studies conducted in low- and middle-income countries that prospectively assess the association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and asthma symptoms in adolescence. The high rates of follow-up are also another strong point of the study, reducing a possible self-selection bias. The way of measuring the exposure – number of cigarettes smoked during pregnancy – may provide preliminary evidence of a possible

causal association between heavy smoking during pregnancy and wheezing in adolescence. In addition, it is plausible to think that the effect of smoking during pregnancy on adolescent wheezing could be an effect of residual confounding, as this exposure could be determined by many unmeasured environmental variables, which could also determine later offspring diseases. Nevertheless, we found an association only with mothers smoking during pregnancy. Moreover, if residual confounding was biasing our association, partner smoking would have also been related to wheezing during adolescence, as probably both, mother and partner, share the same unmeasured environmental variables. This suggests that the association found in this study is not due to residual confounding, increasing the possibility of causal inferences.

Although our study did not find an association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and wheezing in the last year, measured as a dichotomous outcome, several studies in the literature report a positive association between this exposure and outcome. Nevertheless, we should say that many of these studies used cross-sectional designs.^{28–32} However, a significant number of cohort studies, conducted mainly in high-income countries, present similar results.^{27,33–38} On the other hand, if we consider only studies from low- and middle-income countries, literature is scarce, and regardless of design the results remain inconsistent.^{28,39,40} Most studies have been carried out only in infants and children, for instance, a multicenter study in Latin America and Europe, the International Study of Wheezing in Infants found that 1-year-old children of mothers who smoked during pregnancy had a 50% increment on the risk of recurrent wheezing.²⁸ A similar result was found in Boston with children of the same age³⁴ and in London with infants <6-months old.²⁷ This pattern persists even in little older children (6–7 years of age) in studies conducted in Brazil³⁹ (RR = 1.70, 95% CI 1.00–2.90) and in Greece³² with preschool children (42 months) (OR = 1.47, 95% CI 1.11–1.96). On the other hand, an Australian cohort of 14-year-old adolescents, using data on spirometry, bronchial responsiveness and respiratory symptoms, found that maternal smoking during pregnancy was associated with wheezing and current asthma.⁴¹ Apparently, the magnitude of the effect of maternal smoking during pregnancy on wheezing in the last year tends to decrease with increasing age of the individuals, but this is not seen in all populations.^{27,34,39,41–43}

Pattenden *et al.*,⁴³ in a pooled analysis of 12 studies, with children between 6 and 12 years of age, reported an odds ratio for wheezing of 1.25 (95% CI 1.14–1.37) in offspring of mothers who smoked during pregnancy, with a decrease on the effect when adolescent smoking was included in the model (OR = 1.12 95% CI 1.04–1.22). Neumann *et al.*⁴² conducted a similar analysis, but with younger European cohorts (4 to 6 years old), finding an odds ratio of 1.39 (95% CI 1.08–1.77) for wheezing in the last year and 1.65 (95% CI 1.18–2.31) for asthma. The magnitude of our results is similar to these studies but not statistically significant in the model with a dichotomous outcome.

An expressive number of adolescents had self-reported asthma medical diagnosis during their life time and the results from the analysis associating this respiratory disease with maternal smoking during pregnancy were consistent with the associations of this exposure and persistent wheezing outcomes. These findings were also consistent with previous studies that found, as the report of wheeze, a major risk of asthma diagnosis during childhood in those who were exposed to maternal smoking in fetal life.^{30,32,35,42}

Nonetheless, despite the lack of association with wheezing in the last year (dichotomous outcome), maternal smoking during pregnancy was associated with number of wheezing crises and persistent wheezing in a dose-responder relationship. This may suggest that the effect of gestational smoking is related to the severity of asthma symptoms rather than with a simple episode of wheezing during the year before the interview.

In spite of the fact that fetal airway development could be damaged by maternal smoking during pregnancy and consequently their offspring could develop respiratory diseases during life, based on Baker's hypothesis of 'fetal programming',⁴⁴ the mechanisms by which this operates remain uncertain.^{27,42} Some authors suggest that the toxins produced by tobacco consumption can freely cross the utero-placental barrier⁶ and may decrease the fetus's growth potential and general development. Morphological and physiological differences have been found in the respiratory tract of fetuses exposed to active smoking in animal models⁴ and children who suffered sudden infant death.⁴⁵

In conclusion, we found an association between smoking during pregnancy and number of wheezing crises at age 15, as well as persistent wheezing at 11 and 15 years. The lack of association with partner smoking suggests a direct biological effect of the mother smoking during pregnancy on fetal life and consequently on asthma symptoms during adolescence.

Acknowledgment

A language editor reviewed this manuscript; however, the authors are entirely responsible for the scientific content of the paper.

Financial Support

This analysis was supported by the Wellcome Trust, the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) and the Rio Grande do Sul State Research Support Foundation (FAPERGS) of Brazil. Earlier phases of the 1993 cohort study were funded by the European Union, the National Program for Centers of Excellence (PRONEX/CNPq, Brazil) and the Ministry of Health (Brazil).

Conflicts of Interest

None.

Ethical Standards

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national guidelines and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008, and has been approved by the institutional review board of the Federal University of Pelotas for all follow-ups.

Supplementary material

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S2040174414000555>.

References

- World Health Organization. *Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic, 2011: warning about the dangers of tobacco*. World Health Organization: Geneva. 2011.
- Dias-Damé JL, Cesar JA, Silva SM. Tendência temporal de tabagismo em população urbana: um estudo de base populacional no Sul do Brasil. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública*. 2011; 27, 2166–2174.
- World Health Organization (WHO). *WHO Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic, 2008: The MPOWER Package*. World Health Organization. 2008. <http://www.who.int/tobacco/mpower/2008/en/>
- Milner AD, Rao H, Greenough A. The effects of antenatal smoking on lung function and respiratory symptoms in infants and children. *Early Hum Dev*. 2007; 83, 707–711.
- Moshhammer H, Hoek G, Luttmann-Gibson H, et al. Parental smoking and lung function in children: an international study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2006; 173, 1255–1263.
- Stocks J, Dezateux C. The effect of parental smoking on lung function and development during infancy. *Respirology*. 2003; 8, 266–285.
- Goic-Barisic I, Bradaric A, Erceg M, et al. Influence of passive smoking on basic anthropometric characteristics and respiratory function in young athletes. *Coll Antropol*. 2006; 30, 615–619.
- Horak F Jr., Fazekas T, Zacharasiewicz A, et al. The fetal tobacco syndrome – a statement of the Austrian societies for general- and family medicine (OGAM), gynecology and obstetrics (OGGG), hygiene, microbiology and preventive medicine (OGHMP), pediatrics and adolescence medicine (OGKJ) as well as pneumology (OGP). *Wien Klin Wochenschr*. 2012; 124, 129–145.
- Pietinalho A, Pelkonen A, Ryttilä P. Linkage between smoking and asthma. *Allergy*. 2009; 64, 1722–1727.
- Bakker H, Jaddoe VW. Cardiovascular and metabolic influences of fetal smoke exposure. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2011; 26, 763–770.
- Doherty SP, Grabowski J, Hoffman C, Ng SP, Zelikoff JT. Early life insult from cigarette smoke may be predictive of chronic diseases later in life. *Biomarkers*. 2009; 14(Suppl. 1), 97–101.
- Doll R, Hill AB. Smoking and carcinoma of the lung: preliminary report. *Br Med J*. 1950; 2, 739–748.
- Menezes AM, Minten GC, Hallal PC, et al. Smoking prevalence in the 1982 birth cohort: from adolescence to adult life, Pelotas, Southern Brazil. *Rev Saude Publica*. 2008; 42(Suppl. 2), 78–85.
- Sociedade Brasileira de Pneumologia e Tisiologia. III Consenso Brasileiro no Manejo da Asma. *J Pneumol*. 2002 junho 2002; 28 (Suppl. 1).
- Sembajwe G, Cifuentes M, Tak SW, et al. National income, self-reported wheezing and asthma diagnosis from the World Health Survey. *Eur Respir J*. 2010; 35, 279–286.
- Burke H, Leonardi-Bee J, Hashim A, et al. Prenatal and passive smoke exposure and incidence of asthma and wheeze: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2012; 129, 735–744.
- Hayatbakhsh MR, Sadasivam S, Mamun AA, et al. Maternal smoking during and after pregnancy and lung function in early adulthood: a prospective study. *Thorax*. 2009; 64, 810–814.
- Hylkema MN, Blacquiére MJ. Intrauterine effects of maternal smoking on sensitization, asthma, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Proc Am Thorac Soc*. 2009; 6, 660–662.
- Akuete K, Oh SS, Thyne S, et al. Ethnic variability in persistent asthma after in utero tobacco exposure. *Pediatrics*. 2011; 128, e623–e630.
- Victora C, Araujo C, Menezes A, et al. Methodological aspects of the 1993 Pelotas (Brazil) birth cohort study. *Rev Saude Publica Feb*. 2006; 40, 39–46.
- Victora C, Hallal P, Araujo C, et al. Cohort profile: the 1993 Pelotas (Brazil) birth cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol Aug*. 2008; 37, 704–709.
- Araujo CL, Menezes AM, Vieira Mde F, et al. The 11-year follow-up of the 1993 pelotas (Brazil) birth cohort study: methods. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2010; 26, 1875–1886.
- Asher MI, Weiland SK. The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC). ISAAC steering committee. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 1998; 28 (Suppl. 5), 52–66, discussion 90–91.
- Barros AJ, Hirakata VN. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2003; 3, 21.
- Dietz PM, Homa D, England LJ, et al. Estimates of nondisclosure of cigarette smoking among pregnant and nonpregnant women of reproductive age in the United States. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2011; 173, 355–359.
- Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA). Global strategy for asthma management and prevention (revised 2014). 2014. http://www.ginasthma.org/local/uploads/files/GINA_Report_2014_Aug12.pdf
- Henderson AJ, Sherriff A, Northstone K, Kukla L, Hrubá D. Pre- and postnatal parental smoking and wheeze in infancy: cross cultural differences. Avon Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) Study Team, European Longitudinal Study of Pregnancy and Childhood (ELSPAC) Co-ordinating Centre. *Eur Respir J*. 2001; 18, 323–329.
- Garcia-Marcos L, Mallol J, Sole D, Brand PL. International study of wheezing in infants: risk factors in affluent and non-affluent countries during the first year of life. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2010; 21, 878–888.
- Gergen PJ, Fowler JA, Maurer KR, Davis WW, Overpeck MD. The burden of environmental tobacco smoke exposure on the respiratory health of children 2 months through 5 years of age in the United States: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988 to 1994. *Pediatrics*. 1998; 101, e8.
- Gilliland FD, Li YF, Peters JM. Effects of maternal smoking during pregnancy and environmental tobacco smoke on asthma and wheezing in children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2001; 163, 429–436.
- Jurado D, Munoz C, Luna Jde D, Munoz-Hoyos A. Is maternal smoking more determinant than paternal smoking on the

- respiratory symptoms of young children? *Respir Med.* 2005; 99, 1138–1144.
32. Xepapadaki P, Manios Y, Liarigkiovinos T, et al. Association of passive exposure of pregnant women to environmental tobacco smoke with asthma symptoms in children. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol.* 2009; 20, 423–429.
 33. Duijts L, Jaddoe VW, van der Valk RJ, et al. Fetal exposure to maternal and paternal smoking and the risks of wheezing in preschool children: the Generation R Study. *Chest.* 2012; 141, 876–885.
 34. Gold DR, Burge HA, Carey V, et al. Predictors of repeated wheeze in the first year of life: the relative roles of cockroach, birth weight, acute lower respiratory illness, and maternal smoking. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1999; 160, 227–236.
 35. Lux AL, Henderson AJ, Pocock SJ. Wheeze associated with prenatal tobacco smoke exposure: a prospective, longitudinal study. ALSPAC study team. *Arch Dis Child.* 2000; 83, 307–312.
 36. Robison RG, Kumar R, Arguelles LM, et al. Maternal smoking during pregnancy, prematurity and recurrent wheezing in early childhood. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 2012; 47, 666–673.
 37. Strachan DP, Butland BK, Anderson HR. Incidence and prognosis of asthma and wheezing illness from early childhood to age 33 in a national British cohort. *BMJ.* 1996; 312, 1195–1199.
 38. Tanaka K, Miyake Y, Sasaki S, Ohya Y, Hirota Y. Maternal smoking and environmental tobacco smoke exposure and the risk of allergic diseases in Japanese infants: the Osaka Maternal and Child Health Study. *J Asthma.* 2008; 45, 833–838.
 39. Chatkin MN, Menezes AM. Prevalence and risk factors for asthma in schoolchildren in southern Brazil. *J Pediatr (Rio J).* 2005; 81, 411–416.
 40. Menezes AM, Hallal PC, Muino A, et al. Risk factors for wheezing in early adolescence: a prospective birth cohort study in Brazil. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2007; 98, 427–431.
 41. Hollams EM, de Klerk NH, Holt PG, Sly PD. Persistent effects of maternal smoking during pregnancy on lung function and asthma in adolescents. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2014; 189, 401–407.
 42. Neuman A, Hohmann C, Orsini N, et al. Maternal smoking in pregnancy and asthma in preschool children: a pooled analysis of eight birth cohorts. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2012; 186, 1037–1043.
 43. Pattenden S, Antova T, Neuberger M, et al. Parental smoking and children's respiratory health: independent effects of prenatal and postnatal exposure. *Tob Control.* 2006; 15, 294–301.
 44. Singhal A, Lucas A. Early origins of cardiovascular disease: is there a unifying hypothesis? *Lancet.* 2004; 363, 1642–1645.
 45. Elliot J, Vullermin P, Robinson P. Maternal cigarette smoking is associated with increased inner airway wall thickness in children who die from sudden infant death syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1998; 158, 802–806.